



*Empowered lives.  
Resilient nations.*

# THE EXISTING CAPACITY IN EASTERN PARTNERSHIP COUNTRIES TO DEVELOP CLIMATE CHANGE ADAPTATION POLICIES

*Druta Ala*  
*EU4Climate Project Consultant*

**18-19 November 2020**

## ICA SCORECARD

**OBJECTIVE-** assess the effectiveness of the capacity building efforts of the EU4Climate Project during the implementation timeframe in the Eastern Partnership Countries- Armenia, Azerbaijan, Belarus, Georgia, the Republic of Moldova and Ukraine.



### ICA scorecard structure:

1. INTRODUCTION (INSTRUCTION, RESPONDENTS DATA)
2. PARTICIPATION IN CLIMATE CHANGE GOVERNANCE (CAPACITY FOR THE INSTITUTIONAL, POLICY AND LEGAL FRAMEWORK FOR CLIMATE CHANGE –ADAPTATION RELATED
3. CAPACITY FOR CLIMATE PLANNING, IMPLEMENTATION AND MONITORING
4. CAPACITY FOR CLIMATE CHANGE INFORMATION, DATA ANALYSIS AND KNOWLEDGE MANAGEMENT
5. CAPACITY FOR THE PRIVATE SECTOR, CIVIL SOCIETY, OTHER STAKEHOLDERS ENGAGEMENT



## COUNTRY RESPONDENTS PROFILE

### Cluster composition

	Belarus	Ukraine
1) Project Management staff (PM)	3	2
2) Ministerial staff (Min)	5	7
3) Climate experts (Exp)	6	3
4) Civil Society , Private Sector (Cs+Ps)	0	3
	14	15

### Five-point rating scale:



1- the lowest , 5- the highest





Assess climate –related capacities for:

- baseline level - prior to 01.01.2019
- after year 1 of the Project as of 31.12.2019

PARTICIPATION IN CLIMATE CHANGE GOVERNANCE, S.2 baseline (BI) and year 1 (Y1), BELARUS







Aggregated indicators per cluster, S2



**PARTICIPATION IN CLIMATE CHANGE GOVERNANCE, S.2 baseline (BI) and year 1 (Y1), *UKRAINE***

**Aggregated indicators per cluster, S2**




# AGGREGATED INDICATORS OF SECTION 2 CAPACITIES: BASELINE, Y1, TARGETS (%)

## Progress made during Y1 (%)

	Belarus	Ukraine
PM	0,48	0,71
MIN	6,81	1,82
EXP	3,19	3,57

## Gaps between Y1 and end of the project capacities (%)

	Belarus	Ukraine
PM	50,71	57,86
MIN	33,76	38,49
EXP	41,76	43,43



# AGGREGATED CAPACITY INDICATORS OF BASELINE AND THEIR DESIRED LEVEL SECTION 2 (rating points)

## *Belarus*

- existing level of capacities

**M -2,72 p.**

- desired level of capacities

**M- 4,09p.**

**Gap-1,37p.**

## *Ukraine*

- existing level of capacities


**M -2,56**

- desired level of capacities


**M -4,18**

**Gap -1,62 p.**

## Belarus



## Ukraine



# CLIMATE ADAPTATION PRIORITIES OF BELARUS RESPONDENTS BASED ON SELF-ASSESSMENT SCORECARD

## Section 2 (rating points)

2.9. 3. Effective Coordination Mechanism for climate change-related dialogue and policy making.


2.1.a.3. Capacity in the country to develop the country's NDC to the Paris Agreement.

2.5.3 Organizational structure conducive for exercising leadership on the NDC, LEDS or NAP climate change objectives

2.3.a.3 Long- term vision and mandate for climate change adaptation based on the NAP.

3.6. NAP Roadmap developed

5.8. Partnerships between the public and private sector for implementing climate-related targets and programming



# CLIMATE ADAPTATION PRIORITIES OF UKRAINE RESPONDENTS BASED ON SELF-ASSESSMENT SCORECARD

## Section 2 (rating points)

2.3.a. Long- term vision and mandate for climate change adaptation based on the NAP.



2.5.Organizational structure conducive for exercising leadership on the NDC, LEDS or NAP climate change objectives

2.4.a. Organizational/ agency structure aligned to functions with clear responsibilities to address climate change and develop NDC, LEDS, and NAP

3.6. NAP Roadmap developed

4.4. a. Knowledge about the NAP process accessible and shared through the appropriate media/ platforms

4.8. a. Technical capacities required to analyze CC issues, to plan, implement, monitor and evaluate CC programmes identified and available at sectoral and national levels






CLIMATE CHANGE INSTITUTIONAL CAPACITIES OF *BELARUS*  
and *UKRAINE* PER ICA SECTIONS (S2 –S5), Year 1

Belarus

	Y1S2	Y1S3	Y1S4	Y1S5	Target
PM					
MIN					
Exp					

Ukraine

	YS2	YS3	YS4	YS5	Target
PM					
Min					
Exp					



## CONCLUDING REMARKS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

- Increase the effectiveness of capacity building efforts of implemented under EU4Climate project activities in order to reach set targets during the project lifespan.
- Consider the top rated respondents priorities when planning EU4Climate capacity support and promote know-how in climate-related institutional, technical, relational and strategic capacity building in order to reach the desired level of capacities.
- Dedicated attention is to be paid to the capacity needs of Belarus and Ukraine for the setting up and formulating their NAPs, as being Annex1 countries they did not benefit much from the UNFCCC support in this area comparing to the non-Annex parties.
- Pay more attention to the engagement of private sector into project activities and consider the desired level of capacities. The next iteration of the ICA survey should include more participants from the private sector and civil society.
- Adopt a more visible communication campaign to share and facilitate learning of the best climate-related capacity building practices, guides, tools, along with Lessons Learned and networking among participating in EU4Climate Project countries.